

UNIQUE CONTINUATION ESTIMATES FOR THE LAPLACIAN AND THE HEAT EQUATION ON NON-COMPACT MANIFOLDS

LUC MILLER

ABSTRACT. This article concerns some quantitative versions of unique continuation known as observability inequalities. One of them is a lower bound on the spectral projectors of the Dirichlet Laplacian which generalizes the unique continuation of an eigenfunction from any open set Ω . Another one is equivalent to the interior null-controllability in time T of the heat equation with Dirichlet condition (the input function is a source in $(0, T) \times \Omega$). On a compact Riemannian manifolds, these inequalities are known to hold for arbitrary T and Ω .

This article states and links these observability inequalities on a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold, and tackles the quite open problem of finding which Ω and T ensure their validity. It proves that it is sufficient for Ω to be the exterior of a compact set (for arbitrary T), but also illustrates that this is not necessary. It provides a necessary condition saying that there is no sequence of balls going infinitely far “away” from Ω without “shrinking” in a generalized sense (depending on T) which also applies when the distance to Ω is bounded.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction.	1
1.1. Controllability/observability for the heat equation.	2
1.2. Observability at low-frequencies for the heat and the Laplacian.	2
1.3. Carleman inequalities and interpolation.	3
1.4. The theorems	3
2. Sufficient condition: $i) \Rightarrow ii)$	4
3. From interpolation to observability: $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$	6
4. From the Laplace to the heat equation: $iii) \Rightarrow iv)$	7
5. From low-frequencies to full observability: $iv) \Rightarrow v)$	7
6. Necessary condition: $v) \Rightarrow vi)$	8
7. Finer sufficient condition: $i') \Rightarrow ii)$	8
References	9

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let M be a smooth connected complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g and boundary ∂M . When $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, M denotes the interior and $\bar{M} = M \cup \partial M$. Let Δ denote the (negative) Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^2(M)$ with domain $D(\Delta) = H_0^1(M) \cap H^2(M)$. Let Ω be an open subset of \bar{M} and let T be a positive time.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B60, 35B37, 58J35, 58J05, 93B07.
Submitted to the Mathematical Research Letters on April 29, 2004.

To begin with, we formulate various quantitative versions of unique continuation on M for the heat equation and the spectral projectors $\mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu}$, with references to their introduction and proof on a compact M . Besides their intrinsic significance, they have applications to the controllability of distributed systems and the estimation of its cost (e.g. heat conduction, plate vibrations). Then we state the main result which addresses the quite open problem of their validity in this non-compact setting by describing their logical relationship and by providing some sufficient and some necessary geometric conditions.

1.1. Controllability/observability for the heat equation. Consider the heat equation on M with Dirichlet boundary condition and a source term located in $\Omega_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$ ($\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_T}$ denotes its characteristics function):

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi - \Delta \phi &= \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_T} f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_t \times M, & \phi &= 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_t \times \partial M, \\ \phi(0) &= \phi_0 \in L^2(M), & f &\in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(M)). \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1. The heat equation on M is said to be *null-controllable* in time T from Ω if for all $\phi_0 \in L^2(M)$ there is a control function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ such that the solution $\phi \in C^0([0, \infty), L^2(M))$ of (1) satisfies $u = 0$ at $t = T$.

By duality (cf. [DR77]), it is equivalent to the following quantitative version of unique continuation for solutions $u(t, x) = e^{t\Delta} u_0(x)$ of the heat equation without source term: $\partial_t u = \Delta u$ on $\mathbb{R}_t \times M$, $u = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_t \times \partial M$.

DEFINITION 2. The heat equation on M is said to be *final-observable* in time T from Ω if there is a positive constant $C_{T, \Omega}$ such that:

$$(2) \quad \forall u_0 \in L^2(M), \quad \|e^{T\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C_{T, \Omega} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

The best constant $C_{T, \Omega}$ in (2) can be considered as the controllability cost since it is also the best constant such that $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \leq C_{T, \Omega} \|u_0\|_{L^2(M)}$, for all initial condition u_0 and control f solving the controllability problem in def.1.

When M is compact, Lebeau and Robbiano proved in [LR95] that these controllability/observability properties hold (for arbitrary T and Ω). In [MZ03], it is mentioned that their approach does not apply to unbounded Euclidean domains (indeed Weyl's asymptotics for eigenvalues and Russell's construction of biorthogonal functions are used in [LR95]) and that the only result available on these specific properties is that they hold when M is a domain of the Euclidean space with the flat metric and the exterior of Ω is bounded (cf. [CDMZ01]). To this open problem, this article contributes the adaptation of the Lebeau-Robbiano approach to a non-compact M , the extension of the sufficient condition in [CDMZ01] and the necessary condition in [Mil04b] to a non-Euclidean M , and a finer sufficient condition for a homogeneous M which applies even if the exterior of Ω is not compact.

1.2. Observability at low-frequencies for the heat and the Laplacian. In [LR95], Lebeau and Robbiano take advantage of the fast damping of high frequencies to reduce def.2 to the following estimate on the cost of fast null-controllability at low-frequencies.

DEFINITION 3. *Fast observability at low-frequencies for the heat equation* on M from Ω holds if there are positive constants D_1 and D_2 such that for all $\tau \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu \geq 1$:

$$(3) \quad \forall u_0 \in \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} L^2(M), \quad \|e^{\tau\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{\tau}} e^{D_1 \mu} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\tau)}.$$

When M is compact, this improvement of prop.1 in [LR95] (allowing γ to take the endpoint value $\gamma = 1$) is a corollary of prop.2 in [LZ98]. In [LR95], the parabolic problem was reduced to a space-time elliptic one following a classical idea in the uniqueness for parabolic equations (cf. [Lin90]). The improvement in [LZ98] was obtained by first reducing def.3 to the following lower bound for $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu}$, i.e. the restriction of the spectral projectors to Ω .

DEFINITION 4. *Observability at low-frequencies for the Laplacian* on M from Ω holds if there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for all $\mu > 0$:

$$(4) \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} L^2(M), \quad \|v\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C_2 e^{C_1 \mu} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

When M is compact, this is an inequality on sums of eigenfunctions proved as th.3 in [LZ98] and th.14.6 in [JL99]. In particular, when v is an eigenfunction of Δ , this is a quantitative version of elliptic unique continuation. This spectral property should have wide applications besides the study of nodal sets (cf. [JL99]) and the control of vibrations (cf. [Mil04a]).

1.3. Carleman inequalities and interpolation. In [LZ98] and [JL99], this observability at low-frequencies for the Laplacian is reduced to the following interpolation inequality for the space-time Laplacian $P = \Delta + \partial_t^2$ on $M_T = (0, T) \times M$ with Dirichlet condition on the “parabolic” boundary $\Gamma = (\mathbb{R}_t \times \partial M) \times (\{0\} \times \overline{M})$ and with observation of the Neumann condition on $\{0\} \times \Omega$.

DEFINITION 5. The Laplacian P satisfies the *interpolation inequalities* in time T from Ω if $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall \psi \in H^2(M_T)$ s.t. $\psi = 0$ on Γ ,

$$(5) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1((\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^\delta (\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{1-\delta}.$$

When M is compact, this is lemma.A in [LZ98] and lemma.14.5 in [JL99] (it is also related to lemma.4.3 in [Lin91]). Following classical ideas in unique continuation for elliptic equations, the interpolation inequalities are based on Carleman-type estimates. The needed type, namely Carleman estimate for boundary problems, were introduced by Robbiano in [Rob95]. The specific Carleman inequalities used in [LZ98] and [JL99] are proved in prop.1 and prop.2 of [LR95].

1.4. The theorems. Before stating the main result, we introduce a geometric condition. The fundamental tone $\lambda^*(B)$ of an open subset B of \overline{M} is:

$$\lambda^*(B) = \inf_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(M)}^2}{\|\varphi\|_{L^2(M)}^2}.$$

When B is smooth and compact (e.g. B is a ball with radius lower than the injectivity radius), then $\lambda^*(B)$ is just the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on B in the classical sense. In particular, the Euclidean ball B_r with radius r satisfies $\lambda^*(B_r) = \lambda^*(B_1)/r^2$, and the balls $B(x, r)$ of center $x \in M$ and radius r satisfy $\lambda^*(B(x, r)) \sim \lambda^*(B_1)/r^2$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. (Cf. e.g. sect.3.9 in [Cha93].)

DEFINITION 6. The sequence of $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of \overline{M} goes “away” from Ω without “shrinking” with a factor T if $\overline{\text{dist}}_T(B_k, \Omega)^2 - 4T^2 \lambda^*(B_k) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\overline{\text{dist}}_T$ is the following averaged distance with Gaussian weight of variance T :

$$\overline{\text{dist}}_T(B, \Omega)^2 = -2T \log \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \exp\left(-\frac{\text{dist}(x, B)^2}{2T}\right) dx \geq \text{dist}(B, \Omega)^2 - 2T \text{vol}(\Omega).$$

In [Mil04b], a necessary condition similar to def.6 was proved in the Euclidean case (using pointwise rather than L^2 Gaussian upper bounds) and illustrated by elementary examples. In particular, it applies even if the distance to Ω is bounded.

REMARK 1. Assuming that $\lambda_{\kappa,r}^*$ is the fundamental tone of the sphere of radius r in the space form of constant sectional curvature $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and that the Ricci curvatures of M are all greater than or equal to $(n-1)\kappa$, we have $\lambda^*(B) \leq \lambda_{\kappa,r}^*$ for every ball B of radius r (cf. [Che75] or th.3.24 of [Cha93]). If the volume of Ω is finite and the radii of the balls B_k are all greater than a positive constant, then def.6 just says that the geodesic distance from B_k to Ω tends to infinity.

Theorem 1. *Each of the following statements implies the next one:*

- i) Ω is the non-empty exterior of a compact set K such that $K \cap \overline{\Omega} \cap \partial M = \emptyset$.
- ii) $\partial_t^2 + \Delta$ satisfies the interpolation inequalities in time T from Ω (def.5).
- iii) Observability at low-frequencies for the Laplacian on M from Ω holds (def.4).
- iv) Fast observability at low-frequencies for the heat on M from Ω holds (def.3).
- v) The heat equation on M is final-observable in time T from Ω (def.2).
- vi) There is no sequence $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of \overline{M} going “away” from Ω without “shrinking” with a factor T (def.6).

To obtain a sufficient condition for these observability inequalities that would be further from the case of a compact M than i) in th.1, one is lead to make some assumptions on the geometry of M . For instance, if M is a homogeneous manifold, a uniform version of def.5 holds: for any given $R > r > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall x \in \overline{M}$, $\forall \psi \in H^2(M_T)$ s.t. $\psi = 0$ on Γ ,

$$(6) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1((\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times B_R)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^\delta \left(\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(B_r)} \right)^{1-\delta},$$

where B_r and B_R are the balls with center x and respective radii r and R . Indeed, for fixed x this interpolation inequality results from lem.2.3, and δ and C do not depend on x since M is homogeneous. The next theorem relaxes the assumption that the uncontrolled region $\overline{M} \setminus \Omega$ be compact.

Theorem 2. *If the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below and the uniform interpolation inequalities (6) hold (e.g. if M is homogeneous), then th.1 holds with i) replaced by:*

- i') *There are positive constants r and d such that any point in \overline{M} is at a distance smaller than d of some ball of radius r included in Ω .*

It would be interesting to know which boundedness assumptions on the geometry of M ensure (6).

2. SUFFICIENT CONDITION: $i) \Rightarrow ii)$

The key to the proof of $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ is the following global Carleman inequality with boundary term, similar to the local one in prop.1 of [LR95]. Taking advantage of the structure of P , it provides unique continuation with respect to t only, but in terms of integral quantities on the whole manifold M .

Let \mathcal{F} be the space of functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{M})$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset (-\infty, T) \times \overline{M}$ and $f|_{[0,T] \times \partial M} = 0$. Let \mathcal{F}_0 be the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f|_{t=0} = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function of time such that $\dot{\varphi}(t) \neq 0$ and $\ddot{\varphi}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. There are positive constants h_0 and C such that for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$:*

$$(7) \quad \int_{M_T} |h^2 P g|^2 e^{\frac{2\varphi}{h}} + h \int_{\{0\} \times M} |h \partial_t g|^2 e^{\frac{2\varphi}{h}} \geq C h \int_{M_T} (|g|^2 + |h \partial_t g|^2 + |h \nabla g|^2) e^{\frac{2\varphi}{h}}.$$

Proof. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, let $f_0 = f|_{t=0}$. Let $(f, g) = \int_{M_T} f \bar{g}$, $\|f\|^2 = (f, f)$, $(f, g)_0 = \int_M f_0 \bar{g}_0$, $\|f\|_0^2 = (f, f)_0$. We also introduce standard semiclassical notations where $h \in (0, 1)$ is a (small) parameter: $D_t = \frac{h}{i} \partial_t$, $\nabla_x = \frac{h}{i} \nabla$, $\Delta_x = -h^2 \Delta$, $\|f\|_1^2 =$

$\|f\|^2 + \|D_t f\|^2 + \|\Delta_x f\|^2$. With $f = e^{\varphi/h} g$ and $P_\varphi = h^2 e^{\varphi/h} \circ P \circ e^{-\varphi/h}$, the Carleman inequality (7) writes:

$$(8) \quad \|P_\varphi\|^2 + h\|D_t f\|_0^2 \geq Ch\|f\|_1^2.$$

We denote by φ_k the derivative of order k of φ , and let $\underline{\varphi}_k = \inf_{[0,T]} |\varphi_k|$, $\bar{\varphi}_k = \sup_{[0,T]} |\varphi_k|$. As usual, we introduce the hermitian and anti-hermitian parts of P_φ :

$$A = \frac{P_\varphi + P_\varphi^*}{2} = D_t^2 + \Delta_x - \varphi_1^2 \text{ and } B = \frac{P_\varphi - P_\varphi^*}{2i} = D_t \varphi_1 + \varphi_1 D_t = 2\varphi_1 D_t - h\varphi_2,$$

Since $P_\varphi = A + iB$, we have $\|P_\varphi f\|^2 = \|Af\|^2 + \|Bf\|^2 + i[(Bf, Af) - (Af, Bf)]$. Since by integration by parts $(g, Af) = (Ag, f) - ih(g, D_t f)_0$ and $(g, Bf) = (Bg, f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}$, we deduce that:

$$(9) \quad \|P_\varphi f\|^2 = \|Af\|^2 + \|Bf\|^2 + i([A, B]f, f) + 2h(\varphi_1 D_t f, D_t f)_0.$$

The computation of the commutator of A and B , after the simplifications:

$$[A, B] = [D_t^2 - \varphi_1^2, D_t \varphi_1 + \varphi_1 D_t] = D_t[D_t^2, \varphi_1] + [D_t^2, \varphi_1]D_t + \varphi_1[D_t, \varphi_1^2] + [D_t, \varphi_1^2]\varphi_1,$$

yields $i[A, B] = 4h\varphi_2(D_t^2 + \varphi_1^2) - h^3\varphi_4 = 4h\varphi_2(A - \Delta_x + \varphi_1^2) - h^3\varphi_4$. Therefore,

$$i([A, B]f, f) = 4h((Af, \varphi_2 f) + \|\sqrt{\varphi_2} \nabla_x f\|^2 + 2\|\varphi_1 f\|^2) - h^3(\varphi_4 f, f).$$

Since $|(Af, 4h\varphi_2 f)| \leq \|Af\|^2/4 + (4h)^2\|\varphi_2 f\|^2$, we deduce:

$$(10) \quad i([A, B]f, f) \geq h \left(4\underline{\varphi}_2 \|\nabla_x f\|^2 + (8\underline{\varphi}_1^2 - 16h\bar{\varphi}_2^2)\|f\|^2 \right) - \|Af\|^2/4.$$

Since $2|(\varphi_1 D_t f, 2h\varphi_2 f)| \leq \|\varphi_1 D_t f\|^2 + (2h)^2\|\varphi_2 f\|^2$, we have:

$$\|Bf\|^2 = \|2\varphi_1 D_t f\|^2 + \|h\varphi_2 f\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\varphi_1 D_t f, 2h\varphi_2 f) \geq \underline{\varphi}_1^2 \|D_t f\|^2 - 5h^2 \bar{\varphi}_2^2 \|f\|^2.$$

Plugging this inequality and (10) in (9) yields:

$$\|P_\varphi f\|^2 \geq (3/4)\|Af\|^2 + hC_h\|f\|_1^2 + 2h(\varphi_1 D_t f, D_t f)_0 \geq hC_h\|f\|_1^2 - 2h\bar{\varphi}_1\|D_t f\|^2,$$

with $C_h = \min \{8\underline{\varphi}_1^2 - 21h\bar{\varphi}_2^2, 4\underline{\varphi}_2, \underline{\varphi}_1^2\}$. Taking $h_0 > 0$ small enough, so that $C_{h_0} > 0$ yields (8) for all $h \in (0, h_0)$, which completes the proof of prop.2.1. \square

This global Carleman inequality implies (as in lemma.3 of [LR95]) the following global interpolation inequality with the notations of def.5:

Lemma 2.2. *Let U be an open subset of \overline{M} such that $\overline{U} \subset \Omega$. $\forall \tau \in (0, T)$, $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall \psi \in H^2(M_T)$ s.t. $\psi = 0$ on Γ ,*

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1(U_\tau)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega_T \setminus \Omega_\tau)}^\delta \left(\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H^1((\Omega \setminus U)_\tau)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{1-\delta}.$$

Proof. Let $\tau' \in (\tau, T)$ and let $\chi_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\chi_1(t) = 1$ for $t \leq \tau$ and $\chi_1(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \tau'$. Let $\chi_2 : \overline{M} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be smooth function such that $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_2) \subset \Omega$ and $\chi_2 = 1$ on U . Applying prop.2.1 with $\phi(t) = \exp(-t)$ and $g = \chi\psi$ where $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$, using $h < 1$ and dividing by h^3 , yields positive constants C_0 and $h_0 < 1$ such that for any ψ as in lem.2.2 and all $h \in (0, h_0)$:

$$h \int_{M_T} |P\psi, [P, \chi]\psi|^2 e^{\frac{2\varphi}{h}} + \int_{\{0\} \times \Omega} |\partial_t \psi|^2 e^{\frac{2\varphi}{h}} \geq C_0 \int_{U_T} |\psi, \partial_t \psi, \nabla \psi|^2 e^{\frac{2\varphi}{h}}.$$

Since φ is decreasing, $\phi(0) = 1$, $\operatorname{supp}([P, \chi]) \subset (\Omega \setminus U)_\tau \cup (\Omega_{\tau'} \setminus \Omega_\tau)$ and $[P, \chi]$ is a differential operator of order one, there is a $C_1 > 0$ depending on χ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & h e \|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)}^2 + h C_1 e \|\psi\|_{H^1((\Omega \setminus U)_\tau)}^2 + h C_1 e^{\frac{2\varphi(\tau')}{h}} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega_{\tau'} \setminus \Omega_\tau)}^2 + e \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \geq C_0 e^{\frac{2\varphi(\tau)}{h}} \|\psi\|_{H^1(U_\tau)}^2, \quad \text{for all } h \in (0, h_0), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there are constants $h_1 \in (0, h_0)$, $D_0 > 0$, $D_1 > 1 - \varphi(\tau) > 0$, and $D_2 = \varphi(\tau) - \phi(\tau') > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{D_1}{h}} (\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H^1((\Omega \setminus U)_\tau)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) + e^{-\frac{D_2}{h}} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega_{\tau'} \setminus \Omega_\tau)} \\ & \geq D_0 \|\psi\|_{H^1(U_\tau)}, \quad \text{for all } h \in (0, h_1). \end{aligned}$$

Optimizing with respect to h as in [Rob95] completes the proof of lem.2.2. \square

Gluing together local interpolation inequalities (as in sect.3.B of [LR95]) on a fixed compact set intersecting Ω yields the following global interpolation inequality. (The first term of its left-hand-side corresponds to (2) in sect.3 of [LR95] with t and x interverted, whereas the second term corresponds to (1).)

Lemma 2.3. *For all compact subsets K' and K'' such that $K' \subset M$, for all segment $S \subset (0, T)$, $\forall \tau \in (0, T)$, $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall \psi \in H^2(M_T)$ s.t. $\psi = 0$ on Γ ,*

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1(K'_\tau)} + \|\psi\|_{H^1(S \times K'')} \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^\delta (\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{1-\delta}.$$

Assume *i*), i.e. $\overline{M} \setminus K = \Omega \neq \emptyset$ for some compact subset K of \overline{M} such that $K \cap \overline{\Omega} \subset M$. Let V be an open subset of \overline{M} containing $K \cap \overline{\Omega}$ such that \overline{V} is compact and $\overline{V} \subset M$. Applying lem.2.2 to $U = \Omega \setminus \overline{V}$ using $\Omega_T \setminus \Omega_\tau \subset M_T$ and $\Omega \setminus U \subset \overline{V}$, and applying lem.2.3 to $K' = \overline{V}$, $K'' = K$, $S = [\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T]$ and $\tau = (1-\alpha)T$ yield: $\exists \delta' \in (0, 1)$, $\exists C' > 0$, $\forall \psi \in H^2(M_T)$ s.t. $\psi = 0$ on Γ ,

$$(11) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega_{(1-\alpha)T})} \leq C' A^{\delta'} \left(B + \|\psi\|_{H^1(V_{(1-\alpha)T})} \right)^{1-\delta'},$$

$$(12) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1(V_{(1-\alpha)T})} \leq C' A^{\delta'} B^{1-\delta'},$$

$$(13) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1((\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times K)} \leq C' A^{\delta'} B^{1-\delta'},$$

with $A = \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}$ and $B = \|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Plugging (12) into (11) as in lem.4 of [LR95] yields the estimate $\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega_{(1-\alpha)T})} \leq C'' A^{\delta''} B^{1-\delta''}$. Since $(\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times M \subset \Omega_{(1-\alpha)T} \cup (\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times K$, adding the square of this estimate to the square of (13) yields def.5 with $\delta = \max\{\delta', \delta''\}$ and C large enough.

REMARK 2.4. Even when $K \cap \overline{\Omega} \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$, the statement of def.5 avoids the corner $\{0\} \times \partial M$, hence it should still be true and tractable. When $K \subset M$, choosing a V containing K avoids using (13) and yields an estimate of ψ on $M_{(1-\alpha)T}$ rather the smaller set $(\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times M$ in def.5.

3. FROM INTERPOLATION TO OBSERVABILITY: *ii*) \Rightarrow *iii*)

Let $\mu > 0$, $\varphi \in C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(M)$ and $v = \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} \varphi$. Since $C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(M)$ is dense in $L^2(M)$, it is enough to prove (4) for such v .

Let dE_λ denote the projection valued measure associated to the self-adjoint operator $\sqrt{-\Delta}$. The *cardinal hyperbolic sine function* is the smooth function $\text{shc} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ defined by: $\text{shc}(0) = 0$ and $\text{shc}(t) = (\exp(t) - \exp(-t))/(2t)$ for $t \neq 0$.

Let $F_\mu(t, \lambda) = t \text{shc}(t\lambda) \mathbf{1}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \mu}$. For all j and k in \mathbb{N} , $\lambda^j \partial_t^k F_\mu \in C(\mathbb{R}_t; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\lambda))$. Therefore the function ψ defined by:

$$\psi(t, x) = F_\mu(t, \sqrt{-\Delta}) \varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{t^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} (-\Delta)^k v,$$

satisfies $\psi \in H^j(X_T)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T > 0$. Moreover, since $\varphi \in D((\sqrt{-\Delta})^j)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\psi(t, \cdot) \in \cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} D((\sqrt{-\Delta})^j) \subset H_0^1(M)$ for all t .

We assume that $P = \partial_t^2 + \Delta$ satisfies the interpolation inequalities in time T from Ω for some $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $C > 0$. Since $F_\mu(0, \lambda) = 0$ and $\psi(t, \cdot) \subset H_0^1(M)$, we have $\psi = 0$ on Γ , so that (5) applies to ψ . We have $P\psi = 0$

since $\partial_t^2 F_\mu(t, \lambda) = (\lambda)^2 F_\mu(t, \lambda)$, and $\partial_t \psi|_{t=0} = v$ since $(t \operatorname{shc}(t))'|_{t=0} = \operatorname{shc}(0) = 1$, so that (5) writes:

$$(14) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1((\alpha T, \beta T) \times M)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^\delta \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1-\delta}, \text{ with } \beta = 1 - \alpha.$$

The left-hand-side of (14) squared is greater or equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2((\alpha T, \beta T) \times M)}^2 &= \int_{\alpha T}^{\beta T} \int |F_\mu(t, \lambda)|^2 d(E_\lambda \varphi, \varphi) dt = \int_{\alpha T}^{\beta T} \int_0^\mu |t \operatorname{shc}(t\lambda)|^2 d(E_\lambda \varphi, \varphi) dt \\ &\geq (\alpha T)^2 \int_{\alpha T}^{\beta T} dt \int_0^\mu d(E_\lambda \varphi, \varphi) = (1 - 2\alpha) \alpha^2 T^3 \|v\|_{L^2(M)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^2 = \int_0^T \int_0^\mu (1 + \lambda^2) |t \operatorname{shc}(t\lambda)|^2 d(E_\lambda \varphi, \varphi) dt \leq T(1 + \mu^2) e^{2T\mu} \|v\|_{L^2(M)}^2.$$

Plugging these last two estimates in (14) yields,

$$\|v\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \leq \frac{1 + \mu^2}{(1 - 2\alpha) \alpha^2 T^2} e^{2T\mu} \|v\|_{L^2(M)}^{2\delta} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2(1-\delta)}.$$

Therefore, for any $C_1 > T/(1 - \delta)$, there is a $C_2 > 0$ such that (4) holds.

4. FROM THE LAPLACE TO THE HEAT EQUATION: $iii) \Rightarrow iv)$

Assume that observability at low-frequencies for the Laplacian on M from Ω holds. Let $\tau \in (0, 1]$, $\mu \geq 1$ and $u_0 \in \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} L^2(M)$. For all $t \in [0, \tau]$, we may apply (4) to $v = e^{t\Delta} u_0$ since it is in $\mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} L^2(M)$:

$$C_2^2 e^{2C_1\mu} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(M)}^2 = \int_0^\mu e^{-2t\lambda^2} d(E_\lambda u_0, u_0).$$

First integrating on $[0, \tau]$ with the new variable $s = t/\tau$, then using $\tau \leq 1$ and finally $\int_0^1 \exp(-\alpha t) dt = (1 - \exp(-\alpha))/\alpha \geq (2\alpha)^{-1}$ for $\alpha \geq \ln 2$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} C_2^2 e^{2C_1\mu} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\tau)}^2 &\geq \tau \int_0^1 \int_0^\mu e^{-2\tau s \lambda^2} d(E_\lambda u_0, u_0) ds \\ &\geq \tau \int_0^1 e^{-2s\mu^2} ds \int_0^\mu d(E_\lambda u_0, u_0) \geq \frac{\tau}{4\mu^2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(M)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $D_1 > C_1$, there is a $D_2 > 0$ such that (3) holds.

5. FROM LOW-FREQUENCIES TO FULL OBSERVABILITY: $iv) \Rightarrow v)$

By duality (cf. [DR77]), def.3 is equivalent to the following null-controllability at low frequencies (cf. def.1): for all $\tau \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu \geq 1$, there is a bounded operator $S_\mu^\tau : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ such that for all $\phi_0 \in \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} L^2(M)$, the solution $\phi \in C^0([0, \infty), L^2(M))$ of (1) with control function $f = S_\mu^\tau \phi_0$ satisfies $\mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu} \phi = 0$ at $t = \tau$. Moreover, we have the cost estimate: $\|S_\mu^\tau\| \leq \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{\tau}} e^{D_1\mu}$.

We introduce a dyadic scale of frequencies $\mu_k = 2^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and a sequence of time intervals $\tau_k = \sigma_\delta T / \mu_k^\delta$ where $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\sigma_\delta = (2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k\delta})^{-1} > 0$, so that the sequence of times defined recursively by $T_0 = 0$ and $T_{k+1} = T_k + 2\tau_k$ converges to T . The strategy of Lebeau and Robbiano in [LR95] is to steer the initial state ϕ_0 to 0 through the sequence of states $\phi_k = \phi(T_k) \in \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} > \mu_{k-1}} L^2(M)$ at frequencies converging to infinity by applying recursively the control function $f_k = S_{\mu_k}^{\tau_k} \phi_k$ to ϕ_k during a time τ_k and zero control during a time τ_k . This strategy is succesful if ϕ_k tends to zero and the control function $f(t) = \sum_k \mathbf{1}_{0 \leq t - T_k \leq \tau_k} f_k(t)$

is in $L^2(0, T; L^2(M))$. Since the cost estimate above implies $\|S_{\mu_k}^{\tau_k}\| \leq D_2 e^{D_1 \mu_k} / \sqrt{\tau_k}$, it only remains to check that:

$$(15) \quad \varepsilon_k = \|\phi_k\| \text{ and } C_k = D e^{D_1 \mu_k} / \mu_k^\delta \text{ satisfy } \lim_k \varepsilon_k = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k^2 \varepsilon_k^2 < \infty .$$

Since $\mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{-\Delta} \leq \mu_k} \phi(T_k + \tau_k) = 0$, we have $\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq e^{-\tau_k \mu_k^2} \|\phi(T_k + \tau_k)\|$. The expression of $\phi(T_k + \tau_k)$ in terms of the source term f_k (Duhamel's formula) and $\|e^{t\Delta}\| \leq 1$ (contractivity of the heat semigroup) imply $\|\phi(T_k + \tau_k)\| \leq 2(\varepsilon_k + \sqrt{\tau_k} \|f_k\|)$. Therefore $\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq 2e^{-\tau_k \mu_k^2} (1 + \sqrt{\tau_k} C_k) \varepsilon_k$. Since $C_{k+1}/C_k = e^{D_1 \mu_k} / 2^{\delta/2}$, we deduce:

$$\frac{C_{k+1} \varepsilon_{k+1}}{C_k \varepsilon_k} \leq 2e^{-\tau_k \mu_k^2} (1 + D_2 e^{D_1 \mu_k}) e^{D_1 \mu_k} / 2^{\delta/2} \leq D \exp(2D_1 \mu_k - \sigma_\delta T \mu_k^{2-\delta}) ,$$

for some $D > 0$. Since $2 - \delta > 1$ this implies $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k^2 \varepsilon_k^2 < \infty$, which proves (15) and completes the proof of the null-controllability in def.1.

6. NECESSARY CONDITION: $v) \Rightarrow vi)$

The main ingredient is an L^2 Gaussian upper bound on the heat kernel. Following [CGT82] (and section 6.2 in the book [Tay96]), the finite propagation speed of solutions to the wave equation yields:

$$(16) \quad \|\mathbf{1}_U e^{t\Delta} \mathbf{1}_V\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(M))} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{|s| \geq \rho} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4t}\right) ds \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}\right) ,$$

for any open sets U and V in M such that $\rho = \text{dist}(U, V) = \inf_{(x,y) \in U \times V} \text{dist}(x, y)$.

Assume that the sequence $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of \overline{M} goes away from Ω without shrinking with a factor T (def.6). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tilde{\Delta}_k$ denote the Dirichlet Laplacian on B_k with domain $D(\tilde{\Delta}_k) = H_0^1(B_k) \cap H^2(B_k)$ and let Δ_k denote its extension to $L^2(M)$ by zero. Let $\tilde{u}_k \in L^2(B_k)$ be an eigenfunction of $-\tilde{\Delta}_k$ with unit norm associated to its first eigenvalue $\lambda^*(B_k) > 0$, and let $u_k \in L^2(M)$ be the extension of \tilde{u}_k by zero. Since Δ_k is an extension of Δ , we have:

$$\|e^{T\Delta} u_k\|_{L^2(M)} \geq \|e^{T\Delta_k} u_k\|_{L^2(M)} = \|e^{T\tilde{\Delta}_k} \tilde{u}_k\|_{L^2(B_k)} = \exp(-\lambda^*(B_k)T) .$$

Partitioning Ω into slices $U = \{x \in \Omega \mid \rho \leq \text{dist}(x, B_k) < \rho + \varepsilon\}$ of thickness $\varepsilon > 0$, applying (16) to each U with $V = B_k$, summing up and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields:

$$\|e^{t\Delta} u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \leq \sqrt{T} \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \exp\left(-\frac{\text{dist}(x, B)^2}{2T}\right) dx = \sqrt{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\overline{\text{dist}}_T(B_k, \Omega)^2}{4T}\right) .$$

Plugging the last two inequalities in (2) contradicts the limit in def.6. Therefore the heat equation on M is not final-observable in time T from Ω .

7. FINER SUFFICIENT CONDITION: $i') \Rightarrow ii)$

In this section, we prove that th.2 results from the following covering lemma obtained by combining the argument of the proof of lem.1.2 in [Shu92] with Gromov's volume comparison theorem (prop.4.1.iii in [CGT82] or th.3.10 in [Cha93]):

Lemma 7.1. *If the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below there are $\rho_0 > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ there is a sequence of balls $B_\rho(y_j)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) with center $y_j \in \overline{M}$ and radius ρ covering \overline{M} such that each ball $B_\rho(y_j)$ intersects no more than N other balls $B_\rho(y_k)$ ($k \neq j$).*

Let $B_\rho(y_j)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) be a covering with multiplicity not greater than N as in this lemma. Assuming $i')$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an $x_j \in \Omega$ such that $B_r(x_j) \in \Omega$ and

$\text{dist}(y_j, B_r(x_j)) \leq d$. Setting $R = r + d + \rho$ implies $B_\rho(y_j) \subset B_R(x_j)$, so that, fixing $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, applying (6) with $x = x_j$ yields $\forall j, \forall \psi \in H^2(M_T)$ s.t. $\psi = 0$ on Γ ,

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1((\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times B_\rho(y_j))} \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^\delta (\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{1-\delta}.$$

Summing up over j implies:

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1((\alpha T, (1-\alpha)T) \times \overline{M})} \leq NC \|\psi\|_{H^1(M_T)}^\delta (\|P\psi\|_{L^2(M_T)} + \|\partial_t \psi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{1-\delta}.$$

Therefore def.5 holds and the proof of $i') \Rightarrow ii)$ is completed.

REFERENCES

- [CDMZ01] V. R. Cabanillas, S. B. De Menezes, and E. Zuazua, *Null controllability in unbounded domains for the semilinear heat equation with nonlinearities involving gradient terms*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **110** (2001), no. 2, 245–264.
- [CGT82] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov, and M. Taylor, *Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 1, 15–53.
- [Cha93] I. Chavel, *Riemannian geometry: a modern introduction*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 108, Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1993.
- [Che75] S. Y. Cheng, *Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications*, Math. Zeit. **143** (1975), 289–297.
- [DR77] S. Dolecki and D. L. Russell, *A general theory of observation and control*, SIAM J. Control Optimization **15** (1977), no. 2, 185–220.
- [JL99] D. Jerison and G. Lebeau, *Nodal sets of sums of eigenfunctions*, Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (Chicago, IL, 1996), Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1999, pp. 223–239.
- [Lin90] F.-H. Lin, *A uniqueness theorem for parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (1990), 127–136.
- [Lin91] ———, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **44** (1991), 287–308.
- [LR95] G. Lebeau and L. Robbiano, *Contrôle exact de l’équation de la chaleur*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), no. 1-2, 335–356.
- [LZ98] G. Lebeau and E. Zuazua, *Null-controllability of a system of linear thermoelasticity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **141** (1998), no. 4, 297–329.
- [Mil04a] L. Miller, *How violent are fast controls for Schrödinger and plates vibrations ?*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **172** (2004), no. 3, 429–456.
- [Mil04b] ———, *On the controllability of the heat equation in unbounded domains*, to appear in Bull. Sci. Math., <http://hal.ccsd.cnrs.fr/ccsd-00001475>, preprint, 2004.
- [MZ03] S. Micu and E. Zuazua, *Null-controllability of the heat equation in unbounded domains*, Unsolved Problems in Mathematical Systems and Control Theory (V.D. Blondel and A. Megretski, eds.), Princeton U.P., 2003, to appear 2004.
- [Rob95] L. Robbiano, *Fonction de coût et contrôle des solutions des équations hyperboliques*, Asymptotic Anal. **10** (1995), no. 2, 95–115.
- [Shu92] M. A. Shubin, *Spectral theory of elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds*, Astérisque (1992), no. 207, 5, 35–108, Méthodes semi-classiques, Vol. 1 (Nantes, 1991).
- [Tay96] M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations. I*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 115, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996, Basic theory.

ÉQUIPE MODAL’X, EA 3454, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS X, BÂT. G, 200 AV. DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE, 92001 NANTERRE, FRANCE.

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES LAURENT SCHWARTZ, UMR CNRS 7640, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, 91128 PALAISEAU, FRANCE.

E-mail address: miller@math.polytechnique.fr